LODI CITY COUNCIL
SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING
CARNEGIE FORUM, 305 WEST PINE STREET
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 29, 2006

A. CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

The Special City Council meeting of March 29, 2006, was called to order by Mayor Hitchcock at 6:04 p.m.

Present: Council Members – Beckman, Hansen, Johnson, Mounce, and Mayor Hitchcock

Absent: Council Members – None

Also Present: City Manager King, City Attorney Schwabauer, and City Clerk Blackston

B. PUBLIC HEARINGS

B-1 Notice thereof having been published according to law, an affidavit of which publication is on file in the office of the City Clerk, Mayor Hitchcock called for the public hearing to consider adoption of resolution levying annual (2006) assessment for the Lodi Tourism and Business Improvement District (LTBID) and confirming the LTBID 2006 Annual Report (as approved by Council March 15, 2006).

NOTE: Due to a potential conflict of interest related to his spouse's employment with the Lodi Conference and Visitors Bureau, Council Member Beckman abstained from discussion and voting on this matter and vacated his seat at the dais at 6:05 p.m.

City Manager King recalled that Council heard on March 15 a presentation from Nancy Beckman representing the Lodi Tourism Business Improvement District on its proposed work plan and use of proceeds. Pursuant to the Streets and Highways Code, the Council had set a public hearing to receive comments on the proposed work plan and consider protests to the assessment.

In reply to Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson, Mr. King stated that the Lodi Conference and Visitors Bureau's proposal to gradually decrease reliance on City funding would be considered during upcoming budget discussions. He mentioned that there is also consideration being made about reinstituting the economic development position in the City Manager's Office.

In answer to questions posed by Council Member Hansen, Nancy Beckman, Executive Director of the Lodi Conference and Visitors Bureau, reported that it has three full-time personnel: one director, one sales manager, and one assistant. Under the category of promotions are expenses related to press trips.

In response to Council Member Mounce, Ms. Beckman stated that to lose all City funding would mean laying off staff, promotions would be negatively effected, and tourism levels would decrease.

Hearing Opened to the Public

None.

Public Portion of Hearing Closed

City Clerk Blackston reported that no written protests to the assessment for the Lodi Tourism and Business Improvement District had been received.

MOTION / VOTE:

The City Council, on motion of Council Member Hansen, Johnson second, adopted Resolution No. 2006-46 confirming the 2006 Annual Report for the Lodi Tourism Business Improvement District and levy of assessment. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members – Hansen, Johnson, Mounce, and Mayor Hitchcock
Noes: Council Members – None
Absent: Council Members – None
Abstain: Council Members – Beckman

NOTE: Mayor Beckman returned to the Council dais at 6:23 p.m.

NOTE: The following item was discussed and acted upon out of order.

D. REGULAR CALENDAR

D-8 "Authorize the City Manager to execute a lease agreement between the County of San Joaquin and the City of Lodi to relocate Lodi Superior Courtroom No. 1 to the new police building and an assignment agreement between the State of California Administrative Office of Courts (AOC), the County of San Joaquin, and the City of Lodi assigning the lease to the AOC after completion of construction"

City Manager King reported that the proposed lease agreement with the County of San Joaquin regarding Lodi Superior Courtroom No. 1 was for 15 years, plus one additional year. The County would continue to pay the operational provisions of the agreement of the current court space for a period of time to allow them to construct tenant improvements in the new court space at the new police building. It has been offered that the County could contract with the City for project management services; however, they would be charged the full cost. Upon completion of the tenant improvements, or a specific time, whichever occurred first, lease payments would begin at $1.35 per square foot. In addition, there would be a triple net component where the City would also be paid for utilities, maintenance, and other services. The lease rate would increase 2.50% per year until it reached $1.50 per square foot. In year six, an appraisal would be conducted and the market rate would be charged for the remainder of the lease period. The lease agreement would create a revenue stream over the first five years that would exceed $619,000. Mr. King noted that the City is spending $220,000 a year leasing space for the Finance Department. The relocation of the court would mean that the City would have the option of moving the Finance Department into City-owned property.

MOTION:

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson made a motion, Beckman second, to authorize the City Manager to execute a lease agreement between the County of San Joaquin and the City of Lodi to relocate Lodi Superior Courtroom No. 1 to the new police building and an assignment agreement between the State of California Administrative Office of Courts (AOC), the County of San Joaquin, and the City of Lodi assigning the lease to the AOC after completion of construction.

DISCUSSION:

In reply to Council Member Hansen, Mr. King explained that during negotiations the State asked if the City would provide maintenance services. It was agreed that maintenance costs would be capped if the level of services could be reduced. The fee for maintenance included a cost of living adjustment factor. Mr. King stated that the agreement was structured in such a way that the State would pay for any maintenance increases that occur.

VOTE:

The above motion carried by a unanimous vote.

C. ADJOURN TO SPECIAL JOINT MEETING OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

At 6:35 p.m., Mayor Hitchcock adjourned the special meeting of the City Council to a Special Joint meeting with the Redevelopment Agency (NOTE: Refer to the Special Joint meeting with the Redevelopment Agency minutes of March 29, 2006).

The Special City Council meeting reconvened at 7:14 p.m.

D. REGULAR CALENDAR

D-1 "Provide direction with regard to a request from Council Member Mounce to declare 'Livable, Lovable Lodi' the official City motto"

Council Member Mounce mentioned that the Lodi News-Sentinel recently reported that the slogan "Livable, Lovable Lodi" was known to have been used as far back as the 1950s. She felt that with 2006 being the City's Centennial year it would be an appropriate time to adopt "Livable, Lovable Lodi" as the City's official motto.

Council Member Beckman suggested that the City, State, and National mottos all be displayed on the wall behind the Council dais.

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson was opposed to the proposal as he felt the City had changed over the years and would continue to. Rather than reflect on the past, he suggested that consideration be made to the City's future. He recommended that the Arts Commission conduct a citywide contest to create an appropriate City slogan.

Council Member Hansen expressed support for Ms. Mounce's proposal.

Mayor Hitchcock also supported the proposal and felt it would be a good challenge and responsibility to maintain the City as "Livable, Loveable Lodi."

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

- Sara Heberle commented that she had lived in Lodi for 50 years and she encouraged Council to approve "Livable, Loveable Lodi" as the official City motto.

MOTION / VOTE:

The City Council, on motion of Council Member Mounce, Beckman second, adopted Resolution No. 2006-47 adopting "Livable, Lovable Lodi" as the official motto of the City of Lodi and directed the City Clerk to create a way to incorporate it into Lodi's Centennial celebration. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members – Beckman, Hansen, Mounce, and Mayor Hitchcock
Noes: Council Members – Johnson
Absent: Council Members – None

RECESS

At 7:28 p.m., Mayor Hitchcock called for a recess, and the City Council meeting reconvened at 7:38 p.m.

D. REGULAR CALENDAR (Continued)

D-2 "Provide direction with regard to a request from Council Member Mounce on whether to return with legal analysis of the proposal to display the National motto, 'In God We Trust,' in the Council Chamber"

City Attorney Schwabauer reported that the most recent Supreme Court ruling on the Establishment Clause involved the State of Texas's display of the Ten Commandments on its capitol grounds. The Court found that the display was constitutional; however, it drew seven different opinions.

Council Member Beckman recommended that the City Attorney conduct a legal analysis on a display that would include the City, State, and National mottos.

Council Member Mounce explained that Jacquie Sullivan, a Bakersfield Council Member, formed a nonprofit organization called "In God We Trust – America" whose mission is to encourage every city in California and across the United States to display the National motto in their Council Chambers. Ms. Mounce noted that 2006 is the 50th anniversary of the National Motto, which was adopted by Congress on July 30, 1956. Ms. Mounce asked that a plaque similar to the example in the staff report (filed) be displayed in the Carnegie Forum lobby and incorporated into the City's Centennial celebration.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

- Robin Rushing pointed out that the United States was hunting for communists in the 1950s and adopted the National Motto, "In God We Trust," as a way to separate Americans from communists. He read California Constitution, Article 1, Section 4, "*Free exercise and enjoyment of religion without discrimination or preference are guaranteed. This liberty of conscience does not excuse acts that are licentious or inconsistent with the peace or safety of the State. The Legislature shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion*." Mr. Rushing stated that in 2000 there were 2,467 hate crimes committed in California and 17% were religiously motivated. The proposal to display "In God We Trust" shows a preference to Christian religion. He contended that the liberty of conscience is an individual matter.

- Reuven Epstein stated that there are different versions of God and this fact should be taken into account during consideration of this matter.

- Ken Owen, Director of Christian Community Concerns, submitted a written statement (filed) and asked Council to help put a stop to the "erosion of citizen's national history and godly heritage" by adopting the proposal as presented by Ms. Mounce.

- Norman Walker stated that when references to God have been put into community documents it was during times of stress. Among the founding fathers there were men who did not believe in God. He asked how it was the City Council's right (because of each Members personal belief) that all citizens must subscribe to their position. He asserted that this was not equal protection under the law. He admonished Council Member Mounce for believing in the "tyranny of the majority". He contended that the early writers of the Constitution wanted the separation of church and state.

- Sara Heberle mentioned that, for the past 50 years, the American Legion Auxiliary has had an Americanism essay contest and this year nearly 300 essays were submitted. She spoke in support of displaying the National motto.

- Arthur Price commented that "a person is known by the company he keeps." He asserted that religion in the United States is under attack.

- Timothy Kruppe voiced support for the proposal. He stated that the world is increasing in lawlessness and needs to get back on the right track.

- Reverend Dale Edwards questioned why the National motto is being debated. He reported that there were over 80 churches in Lodi and the overwhelming majority of the community believes "In God We Trust" and varying forms of it such as the Islamic and Buddhist communities and the multi-theistic concept of Hinduism. He stated that the concept of separation of church and state was an amended statement in a letter to a private citizen by Thomas Jefferson and was not a part of the foundation of the nation. Values and the moral basis of the country and communities have been eroded away. Prayer has been taken out of schools. He noted that it is not freedom "from" religion; it is freedom "of" religion.

- Pastor Tim Pollock emphasized that symbols have a far reaching effect. The point of the National motto, "In God We Trust," is to remember the historical centrality of God in the formation and future of the republic. He encouraged Council to display the National motto in every public building.

- William Harper stated that Lodians want to encourage people to look to their roots and to historical values.

- Scott Parr believed that if good people did not speak up, then evil would triumph. He felt that a minority has ruled the nation for too long and reported that there were 1% atheists and 96% of people who believed in God. He stated that the founding fathers left Europe to come to America so they would not have a state run church. They did not want to keep religion or God out of government; they wanted to keep government from regulating religion.

- Eunice Friederich thanked Council Member Mounce for bringing forward this request and encouraged Council to support it. She stated that while Thomas Jefferson was President he was also the head of the bible society and insisted that bibles be in public schools.

- Roger Gillistrom asked Council to consider the scientific proof that God exists today.

- Kathleen Decker Jones spoke in support of the proposal.

- John Whitted stated that the question to consider is not who is "God," but who is "we." Council is being asked to accept a statement with a "we" in it, which is the division that separation of church and state was set up to avoid. Council's job is not to "stop the erosion of our godly heritage"; it is to make laws and govern. This proposal puts Council in the position of supporting the good people against the bad people. He believed it was a disservice to God to tell him he is trusted; it is up to God to decide whether he is trusted or not.

- Ely Schofield, a student from Century Assembly Church, spoke in support of the proposal and pointed out that the National motto is not forcing anyone to believe; it asks if the followers want to trust.

- Bill Manley commented that the proposal is merely to honor what the National motto is.

- Bob Waline noted that, legally, it has already been proven that the National motto can be displayed.

City Attorney Schwabauer pointed out that the title to the item under consideration is whether or not to give the City Attorney direction to return with a legal analysis.

Council Member Mounce had hoped that the matter could be voted on tonight.

Council Member Beckman reported that the fourth verse of the national anthem written in 1814 includes the words "…*and this be our motto, in God is our trust*…"

<u>MOTION #1</u>:

Council Member Beckman made a motion, second by Mounce, directing staff to research the matter and return with a plan for a display of the City, State, and National mottos to be displayed above the Council dais.

DISCUSSION:

Mayor Hitchcock was comfortable with the concept of displaying the National motto in a government building. She did not see it as a religious issue and noted that there was a historical precedence. She was opposed to having a large display of all three mottos on the wall above the Council dais as described by Council Member Beckman.

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson stated that he supported the National motto, though he was uncertain about the appropriateness of its placement in the Council Chamber.

Council Member Mounce explained that she had meant for this proposal to be in celebration of patriotism and the country's heritage. She preferred that a plaque similar to the example in the staff report (filed) be placed in the lobby of the Carnegie Forum with the statement, *"On July 30, 1956, President Dwight D. Eisenhower signed a law declaring "In God We Trust" the official motto of the United States. Fifty years later, the City of Lodi officially recognizes the historical significance of our national motto in our country's affairs*."

MOTION WITHDRAWN:

Council Member Mounce withdrew her second, and the motion **died** for lack of a second.

MOTION #2 / VOTE:

Council Member Mounce made a motion, Hitchcock second, to direct the City Attorney to return with legal analysis on the proposal to place the National motto, "In God We Trust," in the lobby of the Carnegie Forum.

DISCUSSION:

Council Member Hansen mentioned that if Lodi were to vote against the proposal, it would be the only city to do so. He pointed out that Lodi is in violation of a court decision about invocations at City Council meetings. Mr. Hansen recalled that when Council Member Beckman nominated the faith community to receive the 2006 Community Service Award, Mr. Hansen had mentioned to those in attendance at the awards ceremony that he listened to and appreciated the invocations. Mr. Hansen stated that he had struggled with the proposal under consideration, because it led him to further evaluate what the role of government was. He stated that it is not the role of government to tell people what to think, read, or view. As a celebration of history, he would support the proposal to display the National motto. It has been stated that if it is "reduced to a patriotic and historical reference" it makes it defensible, in all probability, in the courts of law. Mr. Hansen did not want the National motto displayed behind the Council dais, however, and stated that as a Council Member he had a responsibility to be open to all who wish to address the Council.

VOTE:

The above motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members – Beckman, Hansen, Mounce, and Mayor Hitchcock
Noes: Council Members – Johnson
Absent: Council Members – None

RECESS

At 9:40 p.m., Mayor Hitchcock called for a recess, and the City Council meeting reconvened at 9:52 p.m.

D. REGULAR CALENDAR (Continued)

D-3 "Provide direction with regard to a request by Council Member Beckman to schedule a town hall meeting to receive public comments concerning alternatives to pay for PCE/TCE remediation"

Council Member Beckman stated that the proponents of the water rate reduction initiative had originally requested a town hall meeting to discuss options to pay for the groundwater contamination cleanup. Mr. Beckman suggested that doing so might prevent the matter from proceeding to an election. Proponents indicated they would like the town hall meeting held at the Loel Center with a representative from the Chamber of Commerce to moderate and their concerns be documented and addressed during the meeting.

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson did not believe that a town hall meeting would avoid a ballot initiative and that having a meeting now on the topic would accomplish nothing.

Council Member Hansen recalled that many public meetings on the topic were held and agreed with Mr. Johnson that another one would not stop the initiative process. He believed that the majority of the people in Lodi recognize that Council made the best of a "horrible" situation and that the water rate increase was not unreasonable and that is why the September 21, 2005 Proposition 218 written protest opportunity was not successful.

Mayor Hitchcock noted that when public meetings were held citizens were asked to offer other solutions; however, none were brought forward.

Council Member Mounce stated that if the initiative does qualify for the ballot, the City needs to educate its citizens so that they can make a decision based on correct information.

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson agreed with Ms. Mounce and suggested that a coordinated effort be made to inform the public of the facts related to the matter.

MOTION / VOTE:

No Council action was taken on this matter.

D-4 "Provide direction with regard to a request by Council Member Beckman regarding amending the General Plan to include a greenbelt area"

Community Development Director Hatch reported that Stockton's general plan designates a green area as agriculture open space, not to be included with any development proposal. Stockton does not propose to go into the "green area" with its sphere of influence.

Council Member Beckman recommended that Lodi designate a half mile south of Harney Lane to a half mile north of Armstrong Road as agriculture open space and amend the general plan to include a half mile south of Harney Lane as the extent of the City's sphere of influence.

Mayor Hitchcock felt that, in an effort to maintain control over the area, it would be wiser to put the area in Lodi's sphere of influence and designate it as a greenbelt area with the City's general plan.

Mr. Hatch concurred with Ms. Hitchcock and suggested that this would be an opportune time for Lodi to look south of its current general plan. He recommended Council consider putting the area in the City's sphere of influence as agriculture, not for development purposes. Mr. Hatch reported that he had spoken to the Executive Director of the Local Area Formation Commission (LAFCO) who was supportive that Lodi include in its sphere of influence, land that the City had no intention of developing to support the existing uses in the area.

In reply to Council Member Hansen, Mr. Hatch confirmed that the City cannot annex land without the consent/vote of the property owners. He reiterated his proposal to designate land currently shown as residential reserve and designate it as agriculture open

space/greenbelt. This would change the current holding designation and go further south to incorporate additional land as part of Lodi's general plan. Staff is not proposing any changes to the land use that presently exists.

Council Member Hansen asked if this proposal would prohibit development south of Harney Lane, to which Mr. Hatch replied that there would be full opportunities for development from a half mile south of Harney Lane. The change proposed would be the following half mile to Armstrong Road.

City Manager King mentioned that this proposal does not resolve the issue of transferable development rights.

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson stated that he spoke with an individual who said that such a proposal could constitute an illegal taking of the property of the people in the new sphere of influence.

Mr. Hatch explained that it would not because the property owners have rights that exist under their current county zoning. He reiterated that there would be no change to their current zoning. The designation of a sphere of influence does not in any way remove any of the rights the property owners have.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

- Burt Castelanelli stated that he owned property in the area under consideration. He was opposed to the proposal as he believed it would restrict his ability to sell for a developmental price and continued farming would be difficult because of nearby residential property. He felt Council should wait to receive the plan from the property owners associated with the Greenbelt Task Force.

  Mr. Hatch explained that development of any current agricultural property is predicated upon the ability to get sewer, water, and other City services. That is the only time development rights are added to a property, i.e. when it is annexed and zoned for development.

- Pat Patrick, Executive Director of the Lodi Chamber of Commerce, urged Council to consider general plan amendment proposals from an economic point of view. The ideas the Chamber has brought forward have been a partnership between the Lodi agricultural community and Lodi urban interests. Mr. Patrick reported that LAFCO is interested in preserving agricultural space. The concept of merging Lodi urban and agricultural together and being financially linked satisfies different parties of shared economic interest. Action needs to take place to preserve the orchards and vineyards around Lodi to insulate it from the "sameness" from the north and south. Mr. Patrick stated that in doing so it would make Lodi more attractive to tourists.

MOTION / VOTE:

The City Council, on motion of Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson, Mounce second, unanimously directed staff to bring back a proposal to Council that would amend Lodi's General Plan to expand its sphere of influence to include an area one half mile south of Harney Lane down to one half mile south of Armstrong Road (including the area adjacent to the Micke Grove property) and change the "Residential Urban Reserve" designation to "AG-40 Open Space/Greenbelt."

VOTE TO CONTINUE WITH THE REMAINDER OF THE MEETING

The City Council, on motion of Council Member Beckman, Mounce second, unanimously voted to continue with the remainder of the meeting following the 11:00 p.m. hour.

D. REGULAR CALENDAR (Continued)

D-5 "Provide direction with regard to a request by Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson to discuss the future use of the maintenance shop at Hutchins Street Square and its possible use as a Hospice facility"

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson had recently read in the Hutchins Street Square Foundation minutes that there was consideration being made to convert the maintenance shop into a Hospice facility. He felt it should be discussed by Council before proceeding further.

Council Member Beckman stated that the Foundation minutes are provided to Council as a courtesy. The Foundation is a separate entity apart from the City and it has the freedom to spend time and resources studying concepts as it wishes. When an idea develops to the point Council needs to be informed or take action, it would be scheduled on an agenda.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

- Charlene Lange stated that several studies have been undertaken for various uses of the auto shop, as the Foundation would like to finish out the southwest corner of the Hutchins Street Square project. Money for the studies came from the Foundation. If a project appeared feasible, the Foundation would bring it to Council. She felt that the discussion tonight was premature, as it is only an idea at this point. No staff time is being utilized on the project idea.

  Mayor Hitchcock saw the Foundation as a fundraising Board that supported the Director in promoting and developing the Square. She felt that a close link should be in place between the Board and the Director.

  City Attorney Schwabauer confirmed that the Hutchins Street Square Foundation is an independent 501(c)(3) nonprofit group that can choose its own agenda.

  Steve Baker, Interim Community Center Director, reported that the cost for a part-time City employee who assists the Board is reimbursed to the City by the Foundation.

- John Ledbetter, Chairman of the Foundation, stated that its commitment is to be helpful and the Foundation's history is that it has always worked with Council and staff. He agreed that this discussion was premature at this point and stated that when a plan is developed the Council would be informed.

- Dennis Bennett stated that the Foundation Board members are visionaries who have been able to promote the Square and raise millions of dollars. Its Board has run the Foundation impeccably for 25 years. He believed the Foundation was successful because it "kept City Hall out of Hutchins Street Square." The Foundation has never spent money without prior approval from Council, nor does it have the authority to obligate money.

MOTION / VOTE:

There was no Council action taken on this matter.

D-6 "Provide direction with regard to a request from Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson for a Council-sponsored quarter-cent sales tax increase to pay for public safety and/or open space acquisition"

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson stated that a significant portion of the City's general fund is spent on public safety and he suggested that Council consider a sales tax increase to maintain the current funding toward Police and Fire services, which would free up money for other departments.

Council Member Hansen was opposed to a Council sponsored sales tax measure for the November 2006 election because Measure K (half-cent sales tax dedicated to transportation) and the citizens Fire & Facilities Sales Tax initiative would be on the ballot. When there are multiple tax increase requests on ballots, the tendency is for people to vote no on all of them. He suggested that the 2008 election be targeted for Mr. Johnson's proposal, which would allow time to develop a good plan to promote it.

Council Member Mounce agreed with Mr. Hansen's comments. She preferred that the sales tax increase also be for the purpose of preserving open space.

Council Member Beckman also agreed the proposal would be worth considering, but not for the November 2006 election.

Mayor Hitchcock stated that if she were ever to support a sales tax increase it would have to be for something very important that would have a far reaching, generational type impact, such as a greenbelt. She felt the discussion was premature at this point because the Greenbelt Task Force had not yet formulated its plan for the greenbelt.

City Manager King stated that he would inform Council of when the next League of California Cities workshop is held on the topic of city participation in ballot measure campaigns.

MOTION / VOTE:

There was no Council action taken on this matter.

D-7 "Provide direction with regard to a request from Mayor Hitchcock regarding coordination of requests by Council Members to place items on the agenda"

Mayor Hitchcock favored conducting special meetings in months with five Wednesdays to consider special requests of Council Members, as was done tonight.

Council Member Beckman voiced support for option one as provided on the blue sheet (filed), i.e. reviewing the "Pending Council Requests" report that is prepared by the City Clerk at Shirtsleeve Sessions and deciding on the disposition of each matter at that time. Items could then be scheduled for regular meetings as time permits. He also favored speaker time limits as a way of making meetings more efficient.

Council Member Mounce preferred that Council requests to place items on the agenda be scheduled for regular meetings. She felt it was important that citizens be allowed a full opportunity to speak and be heard.

Mayor Pro Tempore Johnson felt that Council meetings should be expedited and that Council Members, public, and staff could speak more succinctly. He favored speaker time limits of three minutes, and felt that if the public was aware of the limitation in advance they would compose their thoughts accordingly and be prepared. He felt that any Member of Council should be able to place an item on the agenda. He complained of routine equipment problems causing delays and lack of knowledge by staff in using computer software. He suggested that the number of, and comments, under the heading of presentations/proclamations be limited.

Council Member Hansen defended the right of any Council Member to request an item be agendized and discussed.

Mayor Hitchcock summarized that Council Members should be judicious about adding items to the agenda, that the Pending Council Requests reports be reviewed during Shirtsleeve Sessions, and that Council requested items be scheduled on regular meeting agendas if possible, otherwise a special meeting on fifth Wednesdays of the month would be held.

MOTION / VOTE:

There was no Council action taken on this matter.

E. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the City Council, the meeting was adjourned at 12:18 a.m., Thursday, March 30, 2006.

ATTEST:

Susan J. Blackston
City Clerk